File Number: 2004-0611



PLANNING DIVISION CITY OF SUNNYVALE P.O. BOX 3707 SUNNYVALE, CALIFORNIA 94088-3707

E12025 E12025

## NOTICE OF INTENT TO ADOPT MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION

This form is provided as a notification of an intent to adopt a Mitigated Negative Declaration which has been prepared in compliance with the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act of 1970, as amended, and Resolution #118-04.

## **PROJECT TITLE:**

Application for Use Permit by Nick Goyal

## PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION (APN):

Application for a Use Permit on a 29,982 square-foot site to allow construction of a carwash facility and a small retail store at an existing gas station.

## WHERE TO VIEW THIS DOCUMENT:

The Mitigated Negative Declaration, its supporting documentation and details relating to the project are on file and available for review and comment in the Office of the Secretary of the Planning Commission, City Hall, 456 West Olive Avenue, Sunnyvale.

This Mitigated Negative Declaration may be protested in writing by any person prior to 5:00 p.m. on **Tuesday, November 16, 2004.** Protest shall be filed in the Department of Community Development, 456 W. Olive Avenue, Sunnyvale and shall include a written statement specifying anticipated environmental effects which may be significant. A protest of a Mitigated Negative Declaration will be considered by the adopting authority, whose action on the protest may be appealed.

## **HEARING INFORMATION:**

A public hearing on the project is scheduled for:

Monday, November 22, 2004 at 8:00 p.m. in the Council Chambers, City Hall, 456 West Olive Avenue, Sunnyvale. And

## **TOXIC SITE INFORMATION:**

(No) listed toxic sites are present at the project location.

Circulated On October 27, 2004

Signed: \_\_/\_

Gerri Garuso, Principal Planner



PLANNING DIVISION CITY OF SUNNYVALE P.O. BOX 3707 SUNNYVALE, CALIFORNIA 94088-3707 File Number: 2004-0611

E12025

## MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION

This Mitigated Negative Declaration has been prepared in compliance with the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act of 1970, as amended, and Resolution #118-04.

## **PROJECT TITLE:**

Application for a Use Permit filed by Nick Goyal.

## PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION (APN):

Application for a Use Permit on a 29,982 square-foot site to allow construction of a car wash facility and a small retail store at an existing gas station. The property is located at 296 North Fair Oaks Avenue in an M-S (Industrial and Service) Zoning District. (APN: 205-31-006)

## FINDINGS:

The Director of Community Development of the City of Sunnyvale, California, hereby determines that an environmental impact report is not required. There are sufficient environmental controls incorporated into the zoning regulations to ensure no significant detrimental effect.


The above determination is based upon the initial study conducted in this matter, information provided by the applicant in an "Application for Environmental Finding" that is in keeping with the General Plan and the Zoning Ordinance. The use is specifically permitted by a Use Permit, in keeping with character of the Zoning District; site and architectural control by Planning Commission and no endangered species are known to depend on this site for habitat.

## Mitigation Measures:

- a) Hours of operation for the car wash shall be 7:00 a.m. to 10:00.
- b) A "Thrust-Pro" dryer with a Noise Reduction Package (NRP) should be used.

This Mitigated Negative Declaration may be protested in writing by any person prior to **5:00 p.m. on Tuesday, November 16, 2004.** Such protest shall be filed in the Department of Community Development, 456 W. Olive Avenue, Sunnyvale and shall include a written statement specifying anticipated environmental effects which may be significant. A protest of a Mitigated Negative Declaration will be considered by the adopting authority, whose action on the protest may be appealed.

Circulated On October 27, 2004	Signed Jan Carno
	Gerri ¢aruso, Principal Planner
Adopted On	Verified:
	Gerri Caruso, Principal Planner





# California Department of Figure 2025

De Minimis Impact Finding

## PROJECT TITLE/LOCATION (INCLUDE COUNTY):

The application for a Use Permit is located at 296 North Fair Oaks Avenue, City of Sunnyvale, County of Santa Clara in an M-S (Industrial and Service) Zoning District. APN: 205-31-006)

## **PROJECT DESCRIPTION:**

Application for a Use Permit to allow construction of a car wash facility and a small retail store at an existing gas station.

## **FINDINGS OF EXEMPTION:**

- 1. This project is in an urban setting.
- 2. There is no alteration of land or effect on fish or wildlife.

## **CERTIFICATION:**

I hereby certify that the public agency has made the above finding and that the project will not individually or cumulatively have an adverse effect on wildlife resources, as defined in Section 711.2 of the Fish and Game Code.

Title: Principal Planner, Community Development Lead Agency: City of Sunnyvale Date: \_

DFG: 3/94 Planner

City of Sunnyvale Department of Community Development Planning Division P.O.Box 3707 Sunnyvale, CA 94088-3707

INITIAL STUDY ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST FORM Appendix G, CEQA Guidelines

Project #:

2004-0611 UP

**Project Address:** Applicant:

296 N. Fair Oaks Penue 2025 Nick Goyal

1.	Project Title:	Use Permit for 296 N. Fair Oaks Avenue
2.	Lead Agency Name and Address:	City of Sunnyvale, Community Development Department, Planning Division
3. 4.	Contact Person and Phone Number: Project Location:	Ryan M. Kuchenig, Assistant Planner (408) 730-7431 296 N. Fair Oaks Avenue, Sunnyvale CA 94087
5.	Project Sponsor's Name and Address:	Nick Goyal 28456 Century Street Hayward, CA 94545
6.	General Plan Designation:	Industrial and Service
7.	Zoning:	MS (Industrial and Service)

Description of the Project: (Describe the whole action involved, including but not limited to later 8. phases of the project, and any secondary, support, or off-site features necessary for its implementation. (Attach additional sheets if necessary)

2004-0611 - The applicant proposes a Use Permit to allow a new car wash facility and 810 square foot gift shop on an existing gas station site. The applicant will be required to obtain a building permit subsequent to planning approval of the project

Surrounding Land Uses and Setting: (Briefly describe the project's surroundings)

The site currently consists of a gas station and cashier building. Surrounding uses include a self storage building to the east and south. Directly to the north across El Camino Real, lies a fast food restaurant. Single family homes are located south of the site. Various auto repair related businesses are located to the west A small retail center is located at the opposite corner at the northwest intersection of Fair Oaks Avenue and Arques Avenue.

10. Other public agencies whose approval is required (e.g. permits, financing approval, or participation agreement).

**Building Safety Division** 

INITIAL STUDY ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST FORM
Appendix G, CEQA Guidelines
City of Sunnyvale, Department of Community Development, Planning Division
Page 2 of 19

Project #: 2004-0611 UP
Project Address: 296 North Fair Oaks Avenue, Sunnyvale, California
Applicant: Nick Goval

17 7 60 m

Applica	ant. Mck Govar				E12(	
The e	TRONMENTAL FACTOR environmental factors checked ct that is a "Potentially Signific	below '	would be potentially affect	ted by this p		st one
θ	Aesthetics	θ	Hazards & Hazardou Materials	s θ	Public Services	
θ	Agricultural Resources	θ	Hydrology/Water Quality	θ	Recreation	
θ	Air Quality	θ	Land Use/Planning	θ	Transportation/Tra	ıffic
θ	Biological Resources	θ	Mineral Resources	θ	Utilities/Service Systems	
θ	Cultural Resources	θ	Noise	θ	Mandatory Finding Significance	gs of
θ	Geology/Soils	θ	Population/Housing		J	
On the	RMINATION: (To be comp	on:	*·		4 I - NECATEVE	
	that the proposed project COULD ARATION will be prepared.	NOTE	ave a significant effect on the	ie environmei	nt, and a NEGATIVE	θ
signifi	that although the proposed projec cant effect in this case because re nent. A MITIGATED NEGATIV	visions	in the project have been mad	de by or agree		X
	that the proposed project MAY ha	ive a sig	gnificant effect on the enviro	nment, and ar	n ENVIRONMENTAL	θ
mitiga docum the ear	that the proposed project MAY hat ted" impact on the environment, I nent pursuant to applicable legal solier analysis as described on attac must analyze only the effects that	out at le tandards hed she	ast one effect (1) has been a s, and (2) has been addresse ets. An ENVIRONMENTA	dequately ana 1 by mitigatio	lyzed in an earlier n measures based on	θ
potent pursua NEGA	that although the proposed projectially significant effects (a) have but to applicable standards and (b). TIVE DECLARATION, including, nothing further is required.	een ana have b	lyzed in an earlier EIR or Nieen avoided or mitigated purions or mitigation measures	EGATIVE DI suant to that that are impos	ECLARATION earlier EIR or sed upon the proposed	θ
. H	11/1//			10/27/0° Date	7	
Signature	_	/,		Date		
Ryan Kuc	henig			City of Sun	nyvale	
Printed Na	me			For (Lead A	lgency)	

## INITIAL STUDY ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST FORM

Appendix G, CEQA Guidelines

City of Sunnyvale, Department of Community Development, Planning Division

Page 3 of 19

Project #: 2004-0611 UP\_

Project Address: 296 North Fair Oaks Avenue, Sunnyvale, California

Applicant: Nick Goyal

E12025

### EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS

- 1) A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are adequately supported by the information sources a lead agency cites in the parentheses following each question. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to project like the one involved (e.g. the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g. the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants, based on a project-specific screening analysis).
- 2) All answers must take account of the whole action involved, including off-site as well as on-site, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.
- 3) Once the lead agency has determined that a particular physical impact may occur, then the checklist answers must indicate whether the impact is potentially significant, less than significant with mitigation, or less than significant. "Potentially Significant Impact" is appropriate if there is substantial evidence that an effect may be significant. If there are one or more "Potentially Significant Impact" entries when the determination is made, an EIR is required.
- 4) "Negative Declaration: Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated" applies where the incorporation of mitigation measures has reduced an effect from "Potentially Significant Impact" to a "Less Significant Impact." The lead agency must describe the mitigation measures, and briefly explain how they reduce the effect to a less than significant level (mitigation measures from Section 17, "Earlier Analysis," may be cross-referenced).
- 5) Earlier analysis may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or negative declaration. Section 15063 (c) (3) (d). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:
  - a. Earlier Analysis Used. Identify and state where they are available for review.
  - b. Impacts Adequately Addressed. Identify which effects from the above checklist were within the scope of and adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and state whether such effects were addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis.
  - c. Mitigation Measures. For effects that are "Less than Significant with Mitigation Measures Incorporated," describe the mitigation measures which were incorporated or refined from the earlier document and the extent to which they address site-specific conditions for the project
- 6) Lead agencies are encouraged to incorporate into the checklist references to information sources for potential impacts (e.g. general plans, zoning ordinances). Reference to a previously prepared or outside document should, where appropriate, include a reference to the page or pages where the statement is substantiated.
- 7) Supporting Information Sources: A source list should be attached, and other sources used or individuals contacted should be cited in the discussion.
- 8) This is only a suggested form, and lead agencies are free to use different formats; however, lead agencies should normally address the questions from this checklist that are relevant to a project's environmental effects in whatever format is selected.
- 9) The analysis of each issue should identify: (a) the significance criteria or threshold used to evaluate each question; and (b) the mitigation measure identified, if any, to reduce the impact to less than significance.

INITIAL STUDY ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST FORM
Appendix G, CEQA Guidelines
City of Sunnyvale, Department of Community Development, Planning Division
Page 4 of 19

Project #: 2004-0611 UP\_ Project Address: 296 North Fair Oaks Avenue, Sunnyvale, California\_ Applicant: <u>Nick Goval</u>

Issu	nes and Supporting Information	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	Source
Pla	nning Division Checklist (4 of 8)					
I.	AESTHETICS. Would the project:					
a.	Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?	θ	θ	θ	X	2, 94
b.	Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?	θ	θ	θ	X	2, 94
c.	Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?	θ	θ	θ	X	2, 94, 101
d.	Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?	θ	θ	θ	X	2, 94
II.	AIR QUALITY: Where available, the significance management or air pollution control district may be relied the project:					
a.	Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?	θ	θ	θ	X	3, 97, 100, 111
ъ.	Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation.	θ	θ	θ	X	3, 97, 100, 111
c.	Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?	θ	θ	θ	X	3, 96,97 100, 111
d.	Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?	θ	θ	θ	X	62, 63, 111, 112
e.	Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?	θ	θ	θ	X	111, 112

## Attachment C Page 8 of 22

INITIAL STUDY ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST FORM
Appendix G, CEQA Guidelines
City of Sunnyvale, Department of Community Development, Planning Division
Page 5 of 19

Project #: 2004-0611 UP\_

Project Address: 296 North Fair Oaks Avenue, Sunnyvale, California Applicant: <u>Nick Goval</u>

Issu	es and Supporting Information	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	Source
Plar	nning Division Checklist (5 of 8)					
III. a.	BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES: Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through	0	0	0	X	2, 94, 109,
a.	habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service?	θ	θ	θ	Λ	111, 112
b.	Have a substantially adverse impact on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S Wildlife Service?	θ	θ	θ	X	2, 94, 109, 111, 112
c.	Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?	θ	θ	θ	X	2, 94, 109, 111, 112
d.	Interfere substantially with the movement of any resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?	θ	θ	θ	X	2, 94, 109, 111, 112
e.	Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?	θ	. Ө	θ	X	41, 94, 111, 112
f.	Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Conservation Community Plan, other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?	θ	θ	θ	X	2,41, 94, 111, 112
<b>IV.</b> a.	*	θ	θ	θ	X	10, 42, 60, 61, 94, 111
b.	Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resources pursuant to Section 15064.5?	θ	θ	θ	X	10, 42, 94

INITIAL STUDY ENVIRONMENTAL CHE CKLIST FORM
Appendix G, CEQA Guidelines
City of Sunnyvale, Department of Community Development, Planning Division
Page 6 of 19

	olicant: Nick Goval			772	20	25
Issi	nes and Supporting Information	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significa nt Impact	No Impact	Source
Pla	nning Division Checklist (6 of 8)	-	,,			
c.	Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?	θ	θ	θ	X	10, 42, 94, 111
d.	Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?	θ	θ	θ	X	2, 111, 112
<b>V.</b> a.	<b>LAND USE AND PLANNING.</b> Would the project: Physically divide an established community?	θ	θ	θ	X	2, 11, 12, 21, 28
b.	Conflict with an applicable land use plan, policy or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?	θ	θ	θ	X	28, 31,
c.	Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural communities conservation plan?	θ	θ	θ	X	2, 41, 94, 111
VI. a.	MINERAL RESOURCES. Would the project: Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?	θ	θ	θ	X	2, 94
Ъ.	Result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?	θ	θ	θ	X	2, 94
VII. a.	<b>NOISE.</b> Would the project result in: Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?	θ	θ	X	θ	Discussi on at end of checklist
b.	Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?	θ	θ	θ	X	2, 16, 26, 94
c.	A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?	θ	θ	θ	X	2, 16, 26, 94,
	project.					

## Attachment C Page 10 of 22

INITIAL STUDY ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST FORM
Appendix G, CEQA Guidelines
City of Sunnyvale, Department of Community Development, Planning Division
Page 7 of 19

Project #: 2004-0611 UP\_ Project Address: 296 North Fair Oaks Avenue, Sunnyvale, California Applicant: <u>Nick Goval</u>

Issi	nes and Supporting Information	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	Source
Pla	nning Division Checklist (7 of 8)					
d.	A substantially temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?	θ	θ	X	θ	Discus sion at end of check- list
e.	For a project located within an airport land use plan, or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?	θ	θ	θ	X	2, 16, 26, 94
f.	For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?	θ	θ	θ	X	94
VII a.	I.POPULATION AND HOUSING. Would the project: Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?	θ	θ	θ	X	2, 11, 12
b.	Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?	θ	θ	θ	X	2, 11, 111, 112
c.	Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?	θ	θ	θ	X	2, 11, 111, 112
IX.	PUBLIC SERVICES. Would the project result in subst the provision of new or physically altered government government facilities, the construction of which could cau maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or othe services:	facilities, se significa	need for rant environr	new or phy nental impa	sically acts, in o	altered order to
a.	Schools?	θ	θ	θ	X	94
b.	Other public facilities?	θ	θ	θ	X	2, 94

## Attachment C Page 11 of 22

INITIAL STUDY ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST FORM
Appendix G, CEQA Guidelines
City of Sunnyvale, Department of Community Development, Planning Division

Page 8 of 19

Project #: 2004-0611 UP\_ Project Address: 296 North Fair Oaks Avenue, Sunnyvale, California\_ Applicant: <u>Nick Goyal</u>

Issu	ues and Supporting Information	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	Source
Pla	nning Division Checklist (8 of 8)					
X.	MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE					
a.	Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal, or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?	θ	θ	θ	X	2, 3, 12, 80, 94, 96, 97, 109, 110
b.	Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of the past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)?	θ	θ	θ	X	1, 2, 111 112
c.	Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?	θ	θ	θ	X	111, 112

INITIAL STUDY ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST FORM
Appendix G, CEQA Guidelines
City of Sunnyvale, Department of Community Development, Planning Division

Page 9 of 19

Project #: 2004-0611 UP\_ Project Address: 296 North Fair Oaks Avenue, Sunnyvale, California\_ Applicant: <u>Nick Goval</u>

Is	sues a	nd Supporting Information	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significan t With Mitigation Incorporat ed	Less Than Significa nt Impact	No Impact	Source
В	uilding	& Safety Division Checklist (1 of 1)				<del></del> -	
X	I. C	GEOLOGY AND SOILS. Would the project:					
a)		pose people or structures to potential substantial adve leath involving:	erse effects	, includin	g the ris	k of los	s, injury
	(i)	Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42.	θ	θ	θ	X	2, 19, 94, 103, 104, 107, UPC, UMC
	(ii)	Strong seismic ground shaking?	θ	θ	X	θ	Discussion at end of
	(iii)	Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?	θ	θ	θ	X	check-list Discussio n at end of check-list
	(iv)	Landslides?	θ	θ	θ	X	UBC, UPC, UMC, NEC
b)	Res	ult in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?	θ	θ	θ	X	н
c)	wou pote	ocated on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that all become unstable as a result of the project, and entially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral rading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?	θ	θ	θ	X	tt
d)	the	ocated on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-a-B of Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial s to life or property?	θ	θ	θ	X	"
e)	sept	e soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of ic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems are sewers are not available for the disposal of waste er?	θ	θ	θ	X	и

## Attachment C Page 13 of 22

INITIAL STUDY ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST FORM
Appendix G, CEQA Guidelines
City of Sunnyvale, Department of Community Development, Planning Division
Page 10 of 19

Issu	es and Supporting Information	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significa nt Impact	No Impac t	Source
Pub	lic Works Department Checklist (1 of 1)				,	
XII.	UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS. Would the	project:				
a)	Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?	θ	θ	θ	X	2, 20, 24, 87, 88, 89, 90, 111 112
b)	Require or result in construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?	θ	θ	θ	X	2, 20, 24, 87, 88, 89, 111 112
c)	Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?	θ	θ	X	θ	Discussi on at end of checklist
d)	Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?	θ	θ	θ	X	2, 20, 24, 87-89, 111 112
e)	Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which services or may serve the project determined that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?	θ	θ	θ	X	2, 20, 24, 87, 88, 89, 90, 111, 112
f)	Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?	θ	θ	θ	X	2, 22, 90, 111, 112
g)	Comply with federal, state, and local statues and regulations related to solid waste?	θ	θ	θ	X	2, 90, 111, 112

# Attachment C Page 14 of 22 INITIAL STUDY ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST FORM

Appendix G, CEQA Guidelines City of Sunnyvale, Department of Community Development, Planning Division

Page 11 of 19

Issu	ues and Supporting Information	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	Source
Tra	affic Division Public Works Department Checklist (1 o	f 1)				
XII	I. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC. Would the project:					
a)	Cause an increase in the traffic which is substantial in relation to the existing traffic load and capacity of the street system (i.e., result in a substantial increase in either the number of vehicle trips, the volume to capacity ratio on roads, or congestion at intersections)?	θ	θ	θ	X	2, 12, 71, 75-77, 111, 112
b)	Exceed, either individually or cumulatively, a level of service standard established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?	θ	θ	θ	X	2, 12, 71, 75-77, 111, 112
c)	Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?	θ	θ	θ	X	2, 111- 113
d)	Substantially increase hazards to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g. farm equipment)?	θ	θ	θ	X	2, 12, 71, 75-77, 80, 84, 111, 112
e)	Result in inadequate emergency access?	θ	θ	θ	X	2, 111, 112
f)	Result in inadequate parking capacity?	θ	θ	θ	X	2, 37, 111, 112
g)	Conflict with adopted policies or programs supporting alternative transportation (e.g., bus turnouts, bicycle racks)?	θ	θ	θ	X	2, 12, 81, 111, 112

## Attachment C Page 15 of 22

INITIAL STUDY ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST FORM
Appendix G, CEQA Guidelines
City of Sunnyvale, Department of Community Development, Planning Division
Page 12 of 19

Project #: 2004-0611 UP\_ Project Address: 296 North Fair Oaks Avenue, Sunnyvale, California\_ Applicant: <u>Nick Goyal</u>

Elzuz5

Issu	es and Supporting Information	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	Source
Fire	Division Public Safety Department Checklist (1 of 2)					
XIV	7. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS. V	Vould the p	project?			
a)	Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use or disposal of hazardous materials?	θ	θ	θ,	X	UFC/ UBC/ SVMC
b)	Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the likely release of hazardous materials into the environment?	θ	θ	θ	X	UFC/ UBC/ SVMC /
c)	Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an exiting or proposed school?	θ	θ	θ	X	UFC/ UBC/ SVMC
d)	Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?	θ	θ	θ	X	UFC/ UBC/ SVMC
e)	For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?	θ	θ	θ	X	UFC/ UBC/ SVMC
f)	For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?	θ	θ	θ	X	UFC/ UBC/ SVMC
g)	Impair implementation of, or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?	θ	θ	θ	X	UFC/ UBC/ SVMC
h)	Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?	θ	θ	θ	X	UFC/ UBC/ SVMC

## Attachment C

				Attachi Page 1		
	INITIAL STU		Appen	L CHECH	KLIST F QA Guio	FORM delines bivision 13 of 19 Source
Proj	ject #: 2004-0611 UP_ ject Address: 296 North Fair Oaks Avenue, Sunnyvale, Cal licant: <u>Nick Goval</u>					
Issu	es and Supporting Information	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	Source
Fire	e Division Public Safety Department Checklist (2 of 2)					
XV.	<b>PUBLIC SERVICES.</b> Would the project result in substanti provision of new or physically altered government facilities, facilities, the construction of which could cause significant e acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance.	need for ne nvironment	w or physica al impacts, in	lly altered a order to n	governn naintain	
a)	Fire protection?	θ	θ	θ	X	103, 104,

Attachment C Page 17 of 22

INITIAL STUDY ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST FORM
Appendix G, CEQA Guidelines
City of Sunnyvale, Department of Community Development, Planning Division
Page 14 of 19

EIZUZ5

			and the second	~	r-est
Issues and Supporting Information	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	Source
Crime Division Public Safety Department Chec	eklist (1 of 1 )				
XVI. PUBLIC SERVICES. Would the project resu the provision of new or physically altered gove- government facilities, the construction of which maintain acceptable service ratios, response time services:	rnment facilities, need n could cause significa	l for new or ant environ	physically mental imp	altered acts, in o	order t
a) Police protection?	θ	θ	θ	X	13,
					111

## Attachment C Page 18 of 22

## INITIAL STUDY ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST FORM Appendix G, CEQA Guidelines

City of Sunnyvale, Department of Community Development, Planning Division
Page 15 of 19

Project #: 2004-0611 UP\_ Project Address: 296 North Fair Oaks Avenue, Sunnyvale, California

Applicant: Nick Goval

E12025

Issue	s and Supporting Information	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	Source
Park	s & Recreation Department Checklist (1 of 1)					
XVII	. RECREATION					
a)	Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood or regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?	θ	θ	θ	X	2, 18, 111, 112
b)	Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?	θ	θ	θ	X	2, 18, 111, 112
XVII	I. PUBLIC SERVICES. Would the project result in sub- the provision of new or physically altered government f government facilities, the construction of which could c to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or public services:	acilities, ne ause signif	ed for new icant enviro	or physical nmental in	ly altere pacts, in	d n order
a)	Parks?	θ	θ	θ	X	17, 18, 111

Source: Open Space and Recreation Sub-elements

## INITIAL STUDY ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST FORM Appendix G, CEQA Guidelines

City of Sunnyvale, Department of Community Development, Planning Division

Page 16 of 19

94

Χ

θ

θ

Projec	ct Address: 296 North Fair Oaks Avenue, Sunnyvale, Ca	lifornia_				
Applio	cant: Nick Goval		Ì	E12	02	5
Issues	s and Supporting Information	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	Source
Street	t Trees & Landscape Division Parks & Recreation I	Departmen	t Checklis	t (1 of 1)		
XIX.	AGRICULTURE RESOURCES: In determining who significant environmental effects, lead agencies may real and Site Assessment Model (1997) prepared by the Caloptional model to use in assessing impacts on agricultum Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland or Farmland	fer to the Ca ifornia Dep	alifornia Ag artment of (	ricultural I Conservatio	Land Eva	iluation 94
/	of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency to non-agricultural use?	O	U	O	Λ	
b)	Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?	θ	θ	θ	X	91

Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion

of Farmland, to non-agricultural use?

Project #: 2004-0611 UP

c)

## Attachment C

Page 20 of 22

INITIAL STUDY ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST FORM
Appendix G, CEQA Guidelines
City of Sunnyvale, Department of Community Development, Planning Division

Page 17 of 19

Environmental Division Public Works Department Checklist (1 of 1)				•	- oversions		
HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY. Would the project:  a) Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?  b) Substantially degrade groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?  c) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?  d) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off site?  e) Create or contribute runoff which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?  f) Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?  d) θ θ X  g) Place housing within a 100-year floodplain, as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?  h) Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?	Iss	ues and Supporting Information	Significant	Significant With Mitigation	Significant	No Impact	Source
a) Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?  b) Substantially degrade groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?  c) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?  d) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off site?  e) Create or contribute runoff which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?  f) Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?  d) Hace housing within a 100-year floodplain, as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?  h) Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?	En	vironmental Division Public Works Department Check	list (1 of 1	1)			
substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?  c) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?  d) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off site?  e) Create or contribute runoff which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?  f) Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?  d) \( \text{0} \) \		Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge	θ	θ	θ	X	2, 24, 25, 111,
area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?  d) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off site?  e) Create or contribute runoff which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?  f) Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?  θ θ θ Χ  g) Place housing within a 100-year floodplain, as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?  h) Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?	b)	substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits	θ	θ	θ	X	112 2, 24, 25, 111, 112
area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off site?  e) Create or contribute runoff which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?  f) Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?  e) θ θ Φ X  g) Place housing within a 100-year floodplain, as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?  h) Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?  i) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of	c)	area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial	θ	. <b>θ</b>	θ	X	2, 24, 111, 112
of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?  f) Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?	d)	area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off	θ	θ	θ	X	2, 24, 25, 111, 112
<ul> <li>g) Place housing within a 100-year floodplain, as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?</li> <li>h) Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?</li> <li>i) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of</li> </ul>	e)	of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or	θ	θ	θ	X	2, 24, 25, 111, 112
federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?  h) Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?  i) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of	f)	Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?	θ	θ	θ	X	2, 24, 25, 111, 112
<ul> <li>i) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury σ r death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of</li> </ul>	g)	federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map	θ	. Ө	θ	X	2, 12, 19, 24 111, 112
or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of	h)		θ	θ	X	θ	2, 19, 24, 111, 112
	i)	or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of	θ	θ	θ	X	2, 19, 24, 25 111, 112

## Attachment C Page 21 of 22

## INITIAL STUDY ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST FORM

Appendix G, CEQA Guidelines

City of Sunnyvale, Department of Community Development, Planning Division

θ

Page 18 of 19

E12025

X

j) Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?

θ

θ

2, 19, 24, 25,

111,

Completed By: Ryan M. Kuchenig, Assistant Planner Date: October 25, 2004

## DISCUSSION OF IMPACTS THAT ARE LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT WITH MITIGATION INCORPORATED

**NOISE** (a) The Noise Sub-Element of the City of Sunnyvale General Plan (March 1997) contains noise policies and noise and land use compatibility guidelines uses to assess the project. The Sunnyvale Municipal Code, Section 19.24.020(b) states:

Operational noise shall not exceed seventy-five dBA at any point on the property line of the premises upon which the noise or sound is generated or produced; provided, however, that the noise or sound level shall not exceed fifty dBA during nighttime or sixty dBA during daytime hours at any point on adjacent residentially zoned property.

The Municipal Code defines daytime as the period from 7am to 10pm daily, and nighttime as the period between 10pm and 7am daily. The guidelines for noise indicated in the Noise Sub-Element of the General Plan for the City of Sunnyvale are identical to the limits specified in the Municipal Code.

Based on the requirements, the noise limit at the industrial zoned property to the east and south is 75 dBA and the noise limit at the residential property line located across Arques Avenue to the north is 60 dBA during daytime hours.

The project applicant provided a noise study for the project that was prepared by Colia Acoustical Consultants. The noise study was prepared on October 4, 2004

## Acoustical Mitigation Measures

1. In addition to complying with applicable City Codes, Ordinances and Resolutions, the following mitigation measures are incorporated into the project to minimize the identified potential environmental impacts.

## Hour of Operation

a) What and where: Hours of operation for the car wash facility shall be 7:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m. When: During hour of operation for carwash Who: The City will require this measure as a condition of approval for the Use Permit and Will verify through Code Enforcement.

Manufacture of Blower Equipment

# Attachment C Page 22 of 22 INITIAL STUDY ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST FORM Appendix G, CEQA Guidelines

City of Sunnyvale, Department of Community Development, Planning Division
Page 19 of 19

Project #: 2004-0611 UP\_
Project Address: 296 North Fair Oaks Avenue, Sunnyvale, California
Applicant: Nick Goval

b) What and where: The car wash blower manufacturer shall be the Thrust-Pro dryer with a Reduction Package (NRP)

How: Indicate the location and manufacture type of blower equipment on the building permit plans. Provide manufacture cut-sheet detailing how the equipment complies with these requirements.

When: Prior to building permit final

Who: The City will require this to be indicated on the building permit plans prior to issuance and will verify installation.

## DISCUSSION OF IMPACTS THAT ARE LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT

**NOISE d)** Although, the site is already developed, the project will introduce short-term and temporary additional sources of noise to the project area during construction. Through the City's implementation of the Municipal Code noise regulations, this impact will be lessened to a less than significant level during construction.

**UTILITY AND SERVICE SYSTEMS c)** The proposed project includes stormwater run-off treatment Best Management Practices, as now required by the Santa Clara Valley Urban Runoff Pollution Prevention Program.

**GEOLOGY AND SOILS (ii) and (iii)** The project site is not located in an area with any active faults, but may experience strong seismic ground shaking in the event of an earthquake. Through the City's implementation of the Uniform Building Code requirements for area's with potential for seismic activity this aspect of the project will be reduced to a less than significant level.